

**SAN LORENZO VILLAGE HOMES ASSOCIATION
MEETING MINUTES NO. 1244
MINUTES**

1.1 CALL TO ORDER- The regular meeting of the San Lorenzo Village Homes Association Board of Directors was held Thursday, April 21, 2011. President Kathy Martins called the meeting to order at 7:32 p.m.

1.2 SALUTE TO THE FLAG- President Martins led the Board and the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

1.3 ROLL CALL -President Kathy Martins, Vice-President Art Wydler, Directors Margaret Wright, Diane Wydler and Wulf Bieschke were present.

2.0 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

2.1 President Martins needs approval of Minutes of Meeting #1242 on March 17th and Work session agenda #1243 on April 7, 2011 . Director Bieschke makes a motion to approve the Minutes of Meeting #1242 on March 17th and work session agenda #1243 on April 7, 2011. Director D. Wydler 2nds the motion. Director A. Wydler requested that the work session agenda on April 7, 2011, reflect that the meeting began at 6:04 p.m., that item 3, committee appointments, reflect “to be posted on the web”, that item 9, board agenda items, reflect that the alcohol ordinance was to be discussed at the NAG meeting and that item 11, administrator’s proposed retirement and operations of the association office and the annotated agenda be attached to the minutes as attachment A. Director Bieschke amended his motion to reflect changes requested by Director A. Wydler. The motion carried with five ayes.

3.0 COMMITTEE REPORTS

3.1 **Community Engagement Committee-** Director Wright reported that Earth Day was coming up. There would be a YouTube contest that would be showing at the Homes Association on May 28th. Patch is advertising the event, and flyers are being distributed to the schools. Little League Casino Night was discussed. It was a fun and very profitable night for Little League. The pros and cons to make it bigger and better next year were discussed. The Farmer’s Market will be the theme at the May Meet and Greet. The next Committee Engagement meeting is May 11th. President Martins reminded everyone that we will be meeting at 8:30 a.m. at Mervyn Morris Park for Earth Day. We will be cleaning for about 3 ½ hours, everyone gets a free lunch, a free t-shirt, and that there would be a prescription drug drop off that day from 10-12 p.m.

Melissa of SLZ Little League thanked the Homes Association for their help and support at Casino night and announced a profit of \$6,200.00.

- 3.2 **Friends of the Library**- Director Wright said that at the meeting they discussed trying to develop and encourage membership. They currently have 83 members. There is a book sale coming up the weekend of Mother's Day. People have been donating books for that book sale. They are working on logos, the website, and working on bringing the dialogue and brochures up to date. The next meeting is next Monday. Questions - none
- 3.3 **H.A.R.D. (Hayward Area Recreational District) Advisory Committee**- Director Art Wydler said that there was no meeting. He had no report. He did remind everyone that if they have information or recommendations for the spending of WW funds, that they should get their ideas to him and he'd bring them to the next HARD Advisory Meeting. President Martins concerned about the bathrooms at the Duck Pond not being cleaned at 9:00 a.m. Questions - none
- 3.4 **Library Advisory Committee**- Director Wright reported that there was a meeting but that they hadn't had one for 2 months. They did not have a quorum. At the meeting they talked about the expansion of the library and that the expansion is on hold due to Redevelopment money that is going to be used. Supervisor Chan wants to look into the Library Advisory Committee which was actually developed in 2000 for the purpose of raising funds and using Legislative funds to develop a new library. That is no longer the direction the Committee is going. Supervisor Chan wants to review the charter and how to word things currently. There will be no meeting this month. They hope to get together with Supervisor Chan and have a meeting next month. No questions.
- 3.5 **Redevelopment Committee**- No meeting.
- 3.6 **Unincorporated Committee**- Director Wright attended the meeting but didn't have her notes with her. Director Bieschke also attended the meeting but did not have his notes with him. Director Bieschke did report that there was a presentation from Public Works Department wherein they patted themselves on the back for a great job. Director Bieschke informed them of what a long and lousy job they are doing on Lewelling Blvd. The development of Lewelling Blvd. is supposed to be complete by the summer of 2012. Resident Keith Barros reported that Supervisor Miley said that he was not ready to have Public Works do any research or traffic surveys on how fast people were driving in order to change the speed limit.
- 3.7 **Youth Collaborative** – Director D. Wydler attended the Youth Collaborative meeting. At least 13 people attended, several agencies were there such as H.A.R.D. was there, Child Assault Protection Agency, Safe Passages, etc. There is a bike festival coming up June 4th to teach bicycle safety to be held at Grant School. Youth Collaborative has information for parents who are struggling with children who act out. The parents meet with

professionals and they are pointed in positive directions. Director D. Wydler was impressed with the collaborative for the youth in San Lorenzo.

4.0 COMMENTS FROM PUBLIC AGENCIES -

4.1 **CHP** – No CHP report

4.2 **Sheriff's Department** – Sgt. Schuleur thanked all who came to meeting and spoke highly of the Sheriff's Dept. and thanked the Association for use of hall. The last 30 days there have been different alcohol related operations, bar and liquor store operations and one minor decoy operation. They made 8 or 9 arrests, 4 establishments sold to minors, none of them in SLZ, all in Castro Valley. Burglaries are up, not just in SLZ but across the whole jurisdiction. There were approximately 16 arrests since February for burglaries occurring during the day. Call the Sheriff if you see people in your neighborhood that don't belong. There was a burglary on April 8th on Via Toyon, 3 suspects fled, patrol got 2, and canine got 1. The age group of the suspects is across the board and varies from youth or young adult, cheerleaders in Castro Valley, etc. We had a group of folks that were renting storage containers and they would rent and go and burglarize other facilities and fill up theirs. They've confirmed 15 victims in the storage facility robberies. There has been an increase in metal thefts. Director Wright asked that if we call the Sheriff for someone suspicious in our neighborhood that it wouldn't be a high priority call. Sheriff said that it wouldn't be a high priority call but to still call – they to do the best with what they have.

4.3 **Supervisor Wilma Chan** - Sheila Young was detained at another meeting.

4.4 **Zoning Enforcement Report** – report on back table

4.5 **Public Comment** – items not on agenda

1. Keith Barros – commented about how great the Little League Field looks. Keep tax dollars in our community and visit the Bulls Eye Bar at Black Angus.

2. Danielle Prim, Paseo Largavista – Asking for permission and assistance from the Board to put a notice in the newsletter for a small contribution for the Pantry. They are in desperate need, they have 3000 people going through there a month and they are very strapped for funds and looking for guidance regarding solicitation for funds.

3. Brenda Carr, 17256 Via Flores – Provided a closing report to the Board for her experience as a Director. Brenda Carr read the letter.

4. Steve – Via Coaches – spoke to Kathleen a few weeks ago regarding this issue. People behind him are building a substantially large addition to their home without Board approval. They were permitted but not with professionally done plans. The County said they don't care about aesthetics only the structure. He was insulted by this. He doesn't get follow up calls and he's lived here for 14 years and he is starting not to like to live here anymore.

5. Robert LaPoint , 951 Via Berganti– Who would I talk to about a piece of property that doesn't have a sidewalk. It is the property on Washington and Lorenzo Avenue. When it rains the area gets really muddy and the kids walk into the street and that is very dangerous. President Martins said that we would first look into Public Works and it will be put on the agenda for next month.

6. Sandra – She's not clear on what the Board's does in terms of what they do to get things done in San Lorenzo. She hasn't fully gone down to the Planning Department to find out if there is a general plan for San Lorenzo and what is the Board's role to make sure that things are done. President Martins explains that the best place to come is a work session where the Board can go in to detail. During public comment we don't answer.

5.0 **FINANCIAL REPORT**

5.1 President Martins needs approval of March 2011 Expenditures. Director Bieschke makes motion to approve the March 2011 Expenditures. Director Wright seconds the motion. The motion carried with five ayes.

6.0 **OTHER BUSINESS**

6.1 **Redevelopment presentation of Lorenzo Theater:** Andy Young from Alameda County Planning Department reporting on the proposal by the Redevelopment Agency (PLN0052). The property was acquired by Redevelopment Agency in late 2009. The proposal is to make exterior improvements only to the façade of the Lorenzo Theater ticket booth and marquee, the canopy, and a small degree of landscaping to improve the exterior. Jamie Benson – from Alameda County Redevelopment Agency describes the project in more detail. The Lorenzo Theater has been vacant for 20 years, was full of pigeon waste and the roof was collapsing. The pigeon waste has been removed and the structure has been reroofed. The marquee will physically come off the building and go to a neon shop, the neon on the tower will also come off and be redone. The building will be painted on the exterior, the ticket booth will be redone and rebuilt, the doors will be rebuilt to their original specification, the exterior floor will be polished and sealed, the display cases will be corrected and some landscaping will be done using the existing planters. The roof was completed in January. After the Planning hearing on May 5th at 4 pm the Planning Director will approve the site development review and they will go to the Building Department for a permit and then get bids on the project. Additionally, there are no findings for putting a reader board in the marquee. Maybe in the future, if funds are available, a reader board can be placed somewhere else on the property visible to Hesperian Blvd. President Martins wanted to know if Bertolis was part of the improvement. No, Bertolis is a separate property and not part of the improvement process.

Resident Keith Barros wanted clarification that the neon marquee would have electricity and be lite up. There will be electricity to the marquee but not to the interior of the building other than emergency lighting, the building is not structurally sound.

Resident questioned why the improvements are to the exterior only. In order to allow the Theater to be occupied it would cost somewhere around \$11 million for earthquake reinforcement. The exterior is being improved because it is part of downtown San Lorenzo. President Martins stated that the revitalization of the exterior would stand as a symbol of future improvements to be made in San Lorenzo.

Resident questioned why we are continuing with exterior improvements of the Theater if the other Village Square projects are gone. President Martins explains that this money for exterior improvements was encumbered previously and the project is safe and will continue.

Resident Dorothy Partridge wanted to know who would pay for the PG&E for the Theater, who will take care of graffiti, keeping homeless out of the building and is \$1 million enough for the façade improvements. Answer: Redevelopment owns the building at this point and will take care of PG&E. As far as who will be responsible in the future, that is unknown. Redevelopment has a graffiti program in place that will take care of the Theater. Redevelopment will also make sure that the building is secure and that vagrants are not living in the property. The \$1 million budgeted to cover the façade improvements will be enough money.

Resident Danielle stated that Mr. Bertoli wants to reopen the restaurant as a deli.

Director Wright wanted to know the status of the Save the Lorenzo Theater committee. Answer: Redevelopment is not in current contact with Save the Lorenzo committee.

Director A. Wydler wanted to know if there were any plans to use the marquee for posting of community events. Answer: It is absolutely a possibility if it something the community takes responsibility for updating and changing the marquee.

Director Bieschke wanted to know what would happen when Redevelopment went away as far as who maintains the building. Answer: Jaime said they would not have an answer until they had more information from the State regarding Redevelopment. But the building is County owned and the County would be responsible for the maintenance.

- 6.2 **PG&E presentation of Washington/Grant Avenue Project:** Alicia Burke with PG&E, the Governmental Division Manager for the East Bay reports on a project in the developmental stage that PG&E is working on for the vacant parcel of land at the corner of Grant and Washington. PG&E is currently in the position to purchase the site and locate some equipment that would aid in the inspection of a pipeline that runs from Fremont to San Lorenzo. PG&E has already met with Supervisor Wilma Chan, the School

District, the Alameda County Planning Department and Redevelopment Agency. [Handout given out]. Jeff Janvier – Engineer with PG&E. He works on inline projects also known as pigging. Pigging is a method PG&E uses to inspect natural gas pipelines. The natural gas pipeline that runs from Fremont to San Lorenzo must be inspected before 2012. In order to do that, PG&E needs to get a way to get the pigs into the pipeline and out of the pipeline. In order to do this type of inspection they need a pig launching facility and a pig receiving facility. The pipeline that needs to be inspected runs up Via Alamos and goes past Arroyo High School and continues across 880 into San Leandro. What PG&E is proposing to do with the open parcel of property is to construct a station where they are able to remove pipeline inspection materials from the pipeline. Additionally, there is a gas regulation facility which is directly across the street from Arroyo High School. A gas regulation facility reduces the pressure in the pipeline. Line 105, which is the line PG&E is discussing, has 250 lbs. per square inch of pressure. The regulation station across from Arroyo reduces the pressure to 150 lbs., which is much less. PG&E however does not currently use that equipment because it makes a high pitch noise when operated. So PG&E wants the new facility to have a pig receiving unit as well as new pressure regulation equipment. They plan to install the station as far back on the property as possible, allowing the front of the property to be developed in the future. Refer to handouts. Most of the station will be underground except for a small amount of above ground piping. This allows PG&E to inspect the pipeline once every 7 years using this pigging method. PG&E wants to work with the community to make the area of the property this is not being used look nicer than it does today.

Question: Resident Kathie Ready, 16009 Paseo Del Campo – When you relocate the gas line since it goes in front of Arroyo, we just had Washington redone and now will you have to tear up our beautiful street?

Answer: We do need to install 2 new lines, an inlet and an outlet and that would involve some trenching across Grant and Washington. Once that is done we would bring it back to the condition it was before we started.

Question: Resident Keith Barros – Is this the only pig receiving station for the whole line that runs from Fremont to Oakland?

Answer: Currently there are no pig receiver or pig launchers on this line.

Question: Resident – Have you ever had any problems with this type of receiver before? Have you looked at other land use areas? I don't think this type of land use is appropriate for this area.

Answer: No we haven't had any problems with this type of receiver. This is probably the 30th pig receiver we have installed system wide across Northern California. The existing line would stay where it is but at Grant and Washington we would install two pipelines, one for the inlet and one for the outlet across that intersection that would enter the station. Yes we've looked at other land use areas but unfortunately we need the station to be constructed near the existing pipeline otherwise we would need miles of inlet and outlet pipeline just to get to the main pipeline. This site is as close to the existing pipeline as possible. The existing pipeline was replaced in the 1980s and is

considered a more modern gas transmission pipeline and we don't expect any concerns with that pipeline.

Question: Dorothy Partridge – Is the route at Grant and Washington and will the line have to go across Washington to connect with the pig receiver?

Answer: We plan to take the shortest route to that new property and that would be to cross Grant from South to North and then cross Washington from West to East and into the property and route it to the back of the property. That's about 200 hundred feet of pipeline to go onto the property.

Question: Steve Carbonarro – Are there any other pipelines that run through San Lorenzo? When was that line inspected?

Answer: There is another pipeline that runs further west, called line 153. That line was inspected using new line inspection back in 2007. A typical pigging program starts with cleaning pigs which are designed to clean the pipeline and remove any liquids or contamination from the pipeline. From there we run a geometry pig which is designed to detect any dents or gouges in the pipeline. The third step is to run a smart pig which uses onboard magnetics which magnetizes the pipeline and detects a change in magnetic field in the pipeline and metal loss.

Question: Steven Cook – Concerned with the aesthetics of the new station. Is there a station similar to this in Fremont off of Automall? That station looks hideous, it looks nothing like the pictures you've given us.

Answer: Yes, that is a large station. That is where the pig launcher is for the other line, Line 153 as well as a pig receiver there, that is a natural gas pipeline.

Question: Lou Orselli – How old is this pipe? Why was it routed this way?

Answer: This pipe was installed in 1988. I'm not sure why they chose that route. A leak survey is completely different from a pipeline inspection.

Question: Resident at 1715 Via Lucas - Besides all the other equipment, will there be any other hazardous material on the site? Will this be a 24 hour operation, 7 days a week? Is that a high pressure line? Have you come up with any type of emergency evacuation?

Answer: No, just piping underground and then one small section of piping above ground. The testing occurs only once every 7 years. That line runs at 250 psig which is considered a transmission, it is high pressure but not high compared to a line like San Bruno which was a 400 psig line. We haven't come up with an emergency evacuation yet but an emergency response plan and it would incorporate those folks adjacent to it.

Question: 1811 Via Toyon – I would like to see the substation move further up Washington in area along the freeway.

Answer: We understand your concern. I wish there was a better place.

Question: JoAnne Robins – where the old Mervyns store was, there are a lot of pipes there, are those PG&E? Would this new pig station give us the peace of mind that what happened in San Bruno not happen here?

Answer: No, those are not PG&E pipes. To clarify, we are moving the equipment that reduces the pressure in the pipeline from where it is today to the new site. The line in San Bruno was not inspected using this pigging process. Pigging is the best method of inspection. This pipeline in San Lorenzo is a much newer type of pipeline than in San Bruno and is at lower pressure.

Question: Gretchen – what effect will this have on the students?

Answer: The biggest effect would be during construction days. We understand this is a high traffic area. We would work with the county and come up with reasonable working hours and not interfere with traffic.

Question: Howard Beckman – (1) In your discussions with the Planning Dept., what have they indicated regarding zoning or permitting? (2) I feel the preservation of commercially zoned property should be one the highest priorities in this community, etc. (3) He requests that they have a scoping meeting in the community so that people can tell you what we would like to see in ways of alternatives.

Answer: (1) zoned right now for commercial use and no rezoning would be necessary from PG&E's point of view. They do want to maintain as much develop ability as possible.

Question: Gary Robins – Who owns the property? Are you renting, leasing, or buying? How big of an area will this take up even though you'll own the entire area? You own it, so what are you going to do with the rest of it?

Answer: It is privately owned. Our intention is to buy the property. The entire parcel is just over an acre. The property owner is not willing to sell just a portion of the property so the intention would be for PG&E to buy the entire parcel. Roughly 35% of the area of that parcel will be taken up by this project. We would like to resell it back to be developed or in the short term turn it in to a presentable area.

Board Questions:

President Martins: You said every 7 years, that's when we're going to hear the loud pitch sound or all the time?

Answer: The current regulation equipment on Lorenzo Avenue is what makes that high pitch noise, so hopefully we can replace that equipment with more modern silently operating equipment. We are going to have to start operating those pressure regulators due to requirements in our gas systems and so those pressure regulators would become a nuisance. That's why they we want to install more modern quiet ones on the new site.

President Martins: We are subject in SLZ to conditions we use for our fences and the heights of our fence. I hope that whatever PG&E puts in would be matching with the neighborhoods because we can't use wire fences, we can't use certain things, and I

would hope you would take input from the community and have it match. This is the entrance to San Lorenzo.

Answer: We are not trying to sell this as an improvement to the site, all we can do is make it look the best it can.

President Martins: You are going to be responsible for the ongoing maintenance of this property? You're going to clean up the weeds?

Answer: Yes that will be our responsibility. They would set up some initial maintenance of the site and a maintenance schedule would be set up.

President Martins: What does the inspection every 7 years entail?

Answer: A typical pigging operation lasts for about 2 weeks.

Director Bieschke: The pipeline has 250 lbs. of pressure, so how big of a diameter is that pipeline?

Answer: A 20 inch diameter.

Director Bieschke: Is there going to be an automatic shut off valve there?

Answer: Yes. The closest one right now is in Fremont. This is a plus for the project. It is a cylinder shaped device that travels through the pipeline.

Director Wright: You mentioned that you would have other companies like GE that would be working at that site?

Answer: Yes, once every 7 years, that's an example of a pigging company that we use. PG&E doesn't have our own pigs so we contract with that. We operate the pipeline.

Director Wright: What did the Supervisor and school district have for input when PG&E spoke with them?

Answer: They had different concerns. The school district's biggest concern was the traffic issue during the actual installation. The County Planning Office was concerned with maintaining development and then Supervisor Chan's concern was outreach to the community to make sure we did everything we did to work with the community and be passionate to their needs.

Director Wright: To make this station, how long will it actually take to complete it?

Answer: We would propose to install the inlet and outlet piping first so we would move all of our work from the intersection on the actual property itself, at that point impact would very minimal. So actual work for installing the inlet and outlet piping to the station we would be looking at 6-8 weeks of work. Work hours would be limited.

Director D. Wydler: During those 6-8 weeks of work, would traffic be re-routed or would that whole intersection be closed?

Answer: Small pieces would be done at a time. We would use engineered traffic control. We would start at a certain area, trench a little bit and install a pipe, back fill, move. So yes, certain parts of the intersection would be closed at certain times.

6.3 Discussion and Approval of FY 2011-2012 Budget -

Steve Caronnaro – He noticed expenses of cost of producing newsletter but under income there was nothing regarding advertising or revenue generated from the

newsletter. Director D. Wydler answered that with the ads that come in almost offset the expenditure. President Martins believes the ads offset the cost of producing the newsletter, that is the netted out cost.

Howard Beckman believes the budget discussion should be a 3 month process. Once it is distributed, the next month should be an opportunity for discussion and then the 3rd month the adoption. In the past Nancy has said that anyone with questions can email her and she would answer them. He thinks it is important that questions be asked and answered at the meeting. For several years he has asked about legal services costs but he has never received a straight answer. Howard had a question for President Martins. In the budget information the recall generated other additional costs. Howard doesn't see the additional costs in the current budget or in the new budget being adopted. President Martins said that when we decided to halt and redo the balloting process, the Board actually decided to absorb that cost which was \$800 to \$1000 along with the reballoting process. The additional costs show up under the ballot costs because it was paid to Unilect not to the attorney. A side note from President Martins: She's not sure why there isn't a 3 month process but the work session for budget which usually lasts about 6 hours is open to everyone, they go through the budget line by line and answer all of the questions.

President Martins needs a motion for approval of FY 2011-2012 Budget. Director A. Wydler makes a motion to approve. Director Wright seconds. The motion carried with five ayes.

6.4 Accept retirement notice of Nancy Van Huffel (Attachment A) and approve recruitment process for a new Administrator –

Retirement letter – see attached.

Comments: Danielle feels that Nancy has done the best job she can for 23 years, it has been a very hard road, she applauds her for taking the time for her health now and Danielle hopes to meet the new association person.

President Martins comments that the loss of Nancy and her years of experience, her exposure with county agencies will be a great loss to this community. On the other hand, she is looking forward to someone with a new prospective, and a person with new ideas. It will be challenge to replace a person with Nancy's experience. Nancy works very hard for this association. It will leave a big hole in the San Lorenzo community and President Martins appreciates all of her years and everything she's given to the community.

President Martins asks that 6.4 to 6.4(a) and 6.4(b) she doesn't believe they should be simultaneous motions.

6.4(a) President Martins asks for an acceptance of the retirement notice of Nancy Van Huffel. Director Bieschke makes a motion to accept the retirement of Nancy Van Huffel. Director D. Wydler seconds. The motion carried with five ayes.

The second part of this motion is to approve recruitment process for new administrator. We don't have a recruitment process in place. We have opened the process with a job description. We've gone to a couple of different sites and are soliciting resumes.

President Martins wants to get a formal recruitment process for hiring an administrator in place. We have resumes coming in but before we contact anyone we need to figure out the process and how it works. This decision will not be taken lightly nor will it be pushed through on any type of time table. This is not only a great opportunity to appreciate someone for their long hard work but to really redefine what it is we are looking for. This is why President Martins is not asking the Board for a motion to approve the recruitment process because we don't have one yet.

6.5 Update of Fire Department Lease –in legal review. Copy of Lease available at the office.

7.0 **LIENS AND VIOLATION REPORT**

8.0 **HEARINGS AND VARIANCE ISSUES – Attachment B**

Reading of Variance Sheet – please see attached

1. They didn't come back to us, they fell through on their commitment, put them back to the \$500 fine.
 2. They came in and they are going to work with completion of fence within 30 days
 3. They came in, they are working on side access, they are going to move their fence line and submit plans in 30 days and we'll revisit that
 4. Fine for multiple violations
 5. Ask that they have plans, they have 10 days to submit plans and have plans completed in 90 days.
 6. We are going to fine them, tub letter
 7. Tub letter, fine pending, find out about landscaping and update on paint
 8. Fine them and refer to county and work some of the language from the neighborhood prevention into their letter
 9. Continue with fine process for general maintenance of the property
 10. Tub letter because they have done some clean up and waive fine before 30 days
 11. Tub letter and recheck in 30 days
-
1. Over 50% of the cement and he has put planters in there, we'll send him a letter and it meets with some of the guidelines that we've had with some of the homes that have this same kind of overage, he's going to go to the county for authorization to do this.
 2. We approved for 3 dogs
 3. We approved for 3 dogs
 4. We approved for 3 dogs

President Martins needs motion to approve the liens and variance report. Director A. Wydler makes a motion. Director Bieschke seconds. The motion carried with four ayes, President Martin abstains, was not at meeting for that.

9.0 **PUBLIC COMMENT** – no public comments

10.0 BOARD REPORTS -

Director D. Wydler – There is an original marijuana ordinance which said you could have 3 permits for dispensaries . Supposedly there are 2 open and they aren't going to open another one.

Director Wright – NAG was not on the agenda so they discussed the alcohol ordinance which has gone back to the planning for a rewrite. Cherryland, Ashland, San Lorenzo and Castro Valley have different viewpoints on how they want this to be read. Cherryland and Ashland don't want any more liquor stores in their area. Castro Valley and San Lorenzo want development. [Director D. Wydler continues – nothing has been rewritten but Bill Lamberts made some proposals to try to change the wording so that it would better accommodate restaurants that might have bars that serve alcohol.] They also talked about the big stores are used to going through the conditional use permits so it wouldn't be a problem for them to go through this and to apply for it.

Director A. Wydler – No comments

Director Bieschke – When they originally wrote the ordinance it was right after the law was passed to allow for medical marijuana so they allowed for 4 dispensaries and now they have 3.

President Martins – Thanked everyone for staying and reminded everyone to be at Earth Day on April 30th.

11.0 ADJOURNMENT

Meeting was adjourned at 10:21 p.m.

Submitted by

Margaret Wright
Secretary-Treasurer

Recorded & Transcribed By: Chris Stuber,
Reviewed By: Nancy Van Huffel, Administrator